

### U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

# I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): March 11, 2021 ORM Number: SAS-2021-00126 Associated JDs: N/A

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: GA City: Brunswick County/Parish/Borough: Glynn Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 31.218409 Longitude -81.48632

### **II. FINDINGS**

- **A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
  - □ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
  - □ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
  - □ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
  - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

#### B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	)	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

#### C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>					
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):					
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):					
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):						
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



### U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

## D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters (	Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$ . <sup>4</sup>						
Exclusion Name	Exclusior	n Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination			
Non-adjacent Wetland A	0.56	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non- adjacent wetland.	Wetland A is not adjacent to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters as defined by the NWPR. This wetland does not abut any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters, is not inundated by any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters, is physically separated from all $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters and does not have a direct hydrologic surface connection to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year.			
Non-adjacent Wetland B	0.84	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non- adjacent wetland.	Wetland B is not adjacent to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters as defined by the NWPR. This wetland does not abut any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters, is not inundated by any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters, is physically separated from all $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters and does not have a direct hydrologic surface connection to any $(a)(1)$ - $(a)(3)$ waters in a typical year.			

## **III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

- **A.** Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
  - Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Title(s) and date(s)
    This information Select. sufficient for purposes of this AJD.
    Rationale: N/A or describe rationale for insufficiency (including partial insufficiency).
  - Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
  - □ Photographs: Select. Title(s) and/or date(s).
  - $\Box$  Corps site visit(s) conducted on: Date(s).
  - Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): ORM Number(s) and date(s).
  - Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
  - USDA NRCS Soil Survey: NRCS Soil Survey; February 2, 2021
  - USFWS NWI maps: National Wetlands Inventory; February 2, 2021
  - USGS topographic maps: USGS Topographic Survey; February 2, 2021

### Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A
USACE Sources	LiDAR; Figure 7
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area. <sup>5</sup> Because of the bread patters of the (b)(4) exclusion and in an effort to collect date on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



### U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
Other Sources	N/A.

- **B.** Typical year assessment(s): The wetland delineation was conducted in December of 2020. Using the Atecedent PrecipitationTool Version 1.0, which used rainfall data from the 4 of the closest weather stations, we have determined that wetter than normal conditions were present for the nearest weather station during the assessment in 2020.
- **C.** Additional comments to support AJD: Non-adjacent Wetlands A & B are surrounded by development. There is no direct hydrologic surface connection between Wetlands 1-3 and any other jurisdictional waters. These wetlands are 1.3 miles from the nearest TNW, Hog Crawl Creek. Wetland B connects to the interior/ mall drainage stormwater system. The ditch appears to have ephemeral flow at best and appears to have been constructed within uplands or non-adjacent wetlands. Wetland A does not reach the ditch to the north but if it did it would drain into the the roadside ditch where it would not leave other than ground infiltration. There are no culverts under Scranton Connector.